



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

EVIDENCE—PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS—*HENDERSHOTT V. W. U. TEL. CO.*, 76 N. W. (Ia.) 828.—A veterinary surgeon, who had attended plaintiff's horse, was asked what plaintiff said as to the doctor's visiting the horse, and the report that had been received from the keeper as to the condition of the horse. Plaintiff objected to the questions, and his objection was sustained on the ground that it was a disclosure of professional communications, contrary to Code of 1873, Sec. 3643. *Held*, error: The privilege does not extend to veterinary surgeons called to treat animals.

INNKEEPERS—LIENS—GOODS OF THIRD PERSON—CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—*MCCLAINE V. WILLIAMS*, 76 N. W. 930 (So. Dak.).—Where the statute, Laws, 1893, c. 102, provides that innkeepers shall be liable for all losses or injuries to personal property placed by guests in their care, and shall have a lien thereon for their charges; that baggage and other property "belonging" to any person who absconds, after obtaining board without paying his bill, may be disposed of by the innkeeper after thirty days. Court *held*, that the common law rule was thereby changed, so as to deprive an innkeeper of his lien on goods of a third person brought to the inn by a guest and left there. Court also *held*, that a statute creating a lien on the goods of a third person under such circumstances would be in violation of the Constitution, which prohibits the taking of property from any person against his consent, express or implied, except by due process of law.

INSURANCE—CONSTRUCTION OF POLICY—DEATH FROM POISON—*MCGLOTHER V. PROVIDENT MUTUAL ACC. CO. OF PHILADELPHIA*, 89 Fed. 685.—An accident insurance policy excepted from the risk "death from poison." *Held*, that the exception covered poison taken under the supposition that it was harmless medicine. Thayer, Circuit Judge, dissenting, on the ground that the exception covered only deaths accidentally resulting from a knowing taking of poison.

INSURANCE—MORTGAGEE CLAUSE—CONSTRUCTION OF CONDITIONS—*QUEEN INSURANCE CO. V. DEARBORN SAVINGS, LOAN AND BUILDING ASSOCIATION*, 51 N. E. Rep. 717. (Ill.).—An insurance policy contained a clause limiting the time within which proof of loss must be made and action brought. *Held*, that these provisions applied only to the insured and not to the mortgagee, when there was a mortgagee clause attached providing that "if, with the consent of this company, an interest under this policy shall exist in favor of a mortgagee,
* * * the conditions hereinbefore contained shall apply in the manner expressed in such provisions and conditions of insurance relating to such interest as shall be written upon, attached or appended thereto.

LIBEL—SUFFICIENCY OF PUBLICATION—*OWEN V. OGILVIE PUB. CO.*, 53 N. Y. Sup. 1033.—A general manager of a corporation dictated a libelous letter to a stenographer in the employ of the corporation. The letter was then copied and mailed. *Held*, such did not constitute a "publication." The dictating, copying and mailing constituted but one act and by but one person, the corporation.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—USE OF STREETS—WHEEL TAX—DOUBLE TAXATION—UNIFORMITY—*CITY OF CHICAGO V. COLLINS*, 51 N. E. Rep. (Ill.) 907.—An ordinance of the city of Chicago provided that all vehicles used upon the streets of the city, including those for private use, for pleasure, etc., should pay an annual license fee. The ordinance covered bicycles and all other